

# ecosystems and human well-being: the millennium ecosystem assessment

People depend on earth's living systems (called ecosystems) for both life and livelihood. Nature provides the foundation for economic activity, the primary source of human knowledge, and plays a central role in many of the world's cultures. This dependence brings up several important questions.

How have ongoing global transitions—urbanization, industrialization, and technological development—affected the services that ecosystems provide? What options exist to better conserve and restore ecosystems? What are the trade-offs and synergies involved in managing ecosystems and their services?

To investigate, the United Nations sponsored the Millennium Ecosystem Assessment (MA), an international collaboration of more than 1300 experts from 95 countries. From 2001 to 2005, natural and social scientists worked together to collate and synthesize the largest ever assessment of the linkages between ecosystems, the services they provide and human well-being. The MA has interlinked assessments at local watershed, national, regional and global scales.

The MA found that over the past 50 years, humans have changed ecosystems more rapidly and extensively than in any comparable period of time in human history. While these changes have improved the lives of billions, they have also weakened nature's ability to deliver key services such as purification of air and water, protection from disasters, and the provision of medicines. Unless addressed, these problems will substantially diminish the ecosystem services available to future generations.

Of the 24 ecosystem services examined by the MA—provisioning services such as capture fisheries, regulating services such as climate regulation, and cultural services such as recreation—60 percent are being degraded on a global scale. Abrupt changes, such as the creation of “dead zones” in coastal waters, are increasing in likelihood.

Many options exist to conserve or enhance specific ecosystem services in ways that can reduce negative trade-offs or provide positive synergies with other ecosystem services. Assessing 74 response options, the MA found that actions that address one or more indirect drivers of change will be imperative. Among these drivers are: population change (including growth and migration), changes in economic activity (including economic growth, disparities in wealth, and trade patterns), socio-political factors (including factors ranging from the presence of conflict to public participation in decision-making), cultural factors, and technological change.

The Millennium Assessment found the following policy responses to be promising:

- Develop and facilitate adoption of technologies designed to increase resource efficiency or reduce negative impacts. For example: technologies to enable increased crop yields without harmful impacts related to water, nutrient, and pesticide use; and also, technologies to increase energy efficiency and reduce greenhouse gas emissions.
- Create economic and financial incentives to regulate the use of ecosystem goods and services. Examples include: greater use of economic instruments, such as taxes or user fees for activities with ecosystem impacts; mechanisms to enable consumer preferences to be expressed through markets, such as certification schemes; elimination of subsidies that promote excessive use of ecosystem services and, where possible, transfer of these subsidies to payments for non-marketed ecosystem services; compensatory mechanisms for poor people who are adversely affected by the removal of subsidies, along with actions to minimize adverse impacts in developing countries
- Strengthen governance frameworks that provide for effective ecosystem management. Examples include: integration of ecosystem management goals within broader development planning frameworks; increased coordination among environmental agreements and other international economic and social institutions; and, increased transparency and accountability of public and private sectors in decisions that have an impact on ecosystems.
- Support individuals in their efforts to improve ecosystems and human well-being through exercising their procedural or democratic rights in efforts to improve ecosystems and human well-being such as: measures to reduce aggregate consumption of unsustainably managed ecosystem services, behavioral changes that could reduce demand for threatened ecosystem services, promotion of demand-side management, commitments by industry to use raw materials that are from sources certified as being sustainable, and improved product labeling, communication, education, and empowerment of groups that are particularly dependent on ecosystem services, such as indigenous peoples.

Effective management of ecosystems is constrained both by the lack of information and by our failure to use the information that does exist. We need to incorporate non-market ecosystem values into resource management decisions, to recognize the value of traditional and practitioner knowledge, and to enhance the capacity for assessing the consequences of ecosystem change and acting on such assessments.

These policies will require significant changes in institutions and practices, both nationally and internationally.

# A TOP-NOTCH PUBLIC AFFAIRS MAGAZINE

Ron Tammen  
Director Mark O. Hatfield School of Government

## Oregon's Future

David Roth founded this magazine as Oregon's Future in 1996. This year, he decided to step down from the magazine's Board of Directors. Here, in David's words, is his vision for the magazine and for Oregon.

"The original purpose of the magazine was to address Oregon's political crisis in the mid 1990s. For the previous 25 years or so, Oregon's politics had been dominated by a progressive and more-or-less bipartisan agenda that had made our state famous.

Faced with this deeply fractured political situation, we designed the magazine as a forum for rational, non-partisan discussion that would lead toward a new political consensus, one that would enable our communities and our state to deal effectively with the practical difficulties that confronted them every day."

On behalf of the Board of Directors, staff, and readers of the magazine, we want to thank David for his vision and years of hard work.

# archive

the archive of past issues is available at  
[www.sockeyemagazine.org](http://www.sockeyemagazine.org)

# SOCKEYE

DISCUSSION DELIVERED

# SUSTAIN THE VISION

We believe that topics explored in-depth by interesting writers will foster debate across party lines.

Whether it is the latest news that affects us locally or nationally, we strive to bring our readers 360 degrees of the discussion. We never shy away from tough issues and strive to make them accessible to all audiences. Credibility is the watchword of our organization.

## SOCKEYE MAGAZINE DEBUT SPECIAL

\$20 for 1 year of discussion delivered...  
a 40% savings!

All donations to Sockeye are tax-deductible

[www.sockeyemagazine.com](http://www.sockeyemagazine.com) or mail to Sockeye Magazine  
PO Box 10553, Portland, Oregon 97296 USA

