1. Call to Order (VP Brownlee)
   Meeting called to order @ 7:02 pm

2. Roll Call (Clerk Gangstad)

3. Approval of the Agenda
   Motion to approve the Agenda
   Ekstom/Kittleson
   Approved

4. Approval of the Minutes
   Motion to approve the Minutes
   Gill/Steffy
   Approved

5. External Programs (President Cervantes)
   5.1. WEB
   5.2. VP Brownlee: Welcome everyone. So WEB originally asked the Exec team to
discuss things tonight but they are not quite ready to present so we’ve asked
them to come in next week. Liz, if you want to come up i can pull up your
presentation.

   5.3. Shuttle Program
   Liz: Hi you guys. I’m here to give a recap of the last semester with the Shuttle
program. We had 9 busses leaving campus and going to to pdx this past year...
   In total, we service about 900 students to and from campus on each major break.
   In terms of finances, a solid documentation of charges was not given to
   me for Thanksgiving Break so these numbers are based on estimates. Each bus
takes roughly 3 hours to get to PDX and back to campus. We estimate that as
about a $4,800 cost. We take in about $3,100 in revenue but that still leaves us
at almost a $2,000 loss. Thanksgiving Break this year was definitely a big loss.
   Winter Break has better documentation. Rather than charging everyone $10 for
cancellations, we charged walk ons at the door. Even though the profit for Winter Break was high and close to $3,000 the cost was closer to $4,000 so it was still a loss. From PDX to campus had 58 people walk on which means we made 120 dollars which was nice to see!

In general a lot of the programs were a loss but the program gets $750 a semester which is $1,500 a year. With this, although we haven’t done the spring shuttle, the account is about $1,500 in the hole. Are there questions or concerns? Lisa Holliday in our meeting said the amount designated for the program was a little arbitrary.

Steffy: How do you select the days for take off and return?
Liz: 4 weeks before the shuttle is supposed to leave we send out a survey and students fill it out with around the time they’ll need to depart."

Parekh: What do your number looks like compared to previous years?
Liz: According to Rachel, she made a profit. She was tougher on the program though, which I get because of the money. But I saw it as more of a program for student needs so I knew we were going to lose money the way I was running it. She charged $15 for late fees and $20 for walk ons. It’s a student account charge which is nice, but I felt weird about bumping up the price.

VP Brownlee: Any other questions? Thanks Liz. Any further discussion?
Eckstrom: Motion to allocate $1467.73 into the budget for ASWU shuttle.
T. Brinster: This is an estimate but I can check out the invoice. It’s a running balance so we can amend the OP budget to put in an additionally amount. We need to think ahead because we should put in more if were consistently running a deficit.

VP Brownlee: We can discuss this more now if you want to.
Brinster: Next week we will be discussing the budget and then voting so maybe take a week to think and then bring thoughts to our next meeting. Then we can propose and pass it with the budget. No rush.

Gil: Can you get us those numbers this week so we know the numbers when we’re making a decision?
Saiki: Liz you might know, but did we increase the number of shuttles this year?
Liz: Yes because I tried to accommodate as many people as possible. I tried to fill them to break even.
Saiki: How often were they at full capacity?
Liz: 55 people is full capacity but with luggage it’s about 25 to 28 people with a couple empties to meet walkons.
Saiki: Shuttles that left met that range?
Liz: I only had one shuttle on the return that was above that.

**Motion to table discussion**
**Hartman/Durham**
**Approved**

---

6. Officer Reports
6.1. President Cervantes
P Cervantes: Me and Becca met with a New York Times rep who pitched us a program for about $12,000 to pay for all students, staff, and faculty to have New York Times access at all times. Print copies would stay the same and archive access would be limited to 5 articles per day. My thoughts were that this was too pricey.
Saiki: Have we talked to research librarians? Would it be useful to them?
P Cervantes: We haven’t but she has because she’s interested in splitting costs.
Gill: I talked to Becca about this in my one on one but this allocation doesn’t technically need to be used for collegiate readership. I still think we should consider it but if we think it’s too pricey than $12,000 could do a lot on campus.
VP Brownlee: I talked to Liz but we have about $87,000 in this account. I think we have a good opportunity to think about projects with capital investments. I’ll let you know if I think of anything since if we don’t use this money it’s kind of a waste. Seniors did swipe access awhile back but don’t be afraid to think big.
Ekstrom: $12,000 is a bit steep and you get 10 articles a month free anyway. Maybe we could look at setting aside $50,000 to hire an additional counselor at Bishop. We would match alumni donations to aid student mental health. That would be on the homepage on the website, it would be good press!
P Cervantes: Let’s just stick to the New York Times
Saiki: When we do revisit this it would be good to get numbers on this program’s success.
Brownlee: I’ll get those in about a week.
Saiki: Isn’t this counselor being budgeted by admin?
Ekstrom: Yeah but we should get another!
VP Brownlee: Again the New York Times

**Motion to table discussion**
Parekh/Leder
Approved

P Cervantes: I’ve been communicating with cecily and we’ll be meeting with them on the 19th at Corbin. They’ll have desserts! Please email me if you’re interested. We’ll do transportation so you don’t have to think about that. I’ll be meeting with Vice President Baseada to talk about marketing and I’m also going to ask for minutes from Admin meetings. I think it’s weird that we don’t get those. Thorsett thought that was a bad idea but I figured we could take it on. If you have ideas for other things I should mention please email me. I have the Board of Trustees on the 18th and 19th. Last semester I brought up campus safety as much as possible so let me know if you have other things you want me to mention.

6.2. Treasurer Brinster
T Brinster: For some new business, all reimbursements have been picked up and all accounts have been zeroed out. I’ll be notifying clubs who are close to zeroing out because I noticed that I’d be zeroing a lot of clubs out. I want to make sure all those people get their cash back so I’ll be notifying them about that zero out process.

My next point is that student fees haven’t been put in unallocated funds so I haven’t been able to get that money out to clubs. Accounting has to do a headcount first. I’ve have set my office hours though! I have a justice on call everyday so no one has to see me too much.

Club approval met today so we can have them editing their paperwork. Also I’ve got 8 people on my committee again and I’m so excited! We’re going to have a fresh start this week because today was the budget form deadline. We have hearings coming up and I’ll start processing the budget tonight. Only one club has signed up which is beautiful. 13 clubs have submitted budgets under $1,500. Sports Club Council and Outdoor Center will be coming in to present over $1,500 budgets so hopefully that won’t take too long. You’ll see that all next week!

6.3. VP Brownlee
VP Brownlee: We have three wonderful new senators and election commision is doing a great job. Erin will print name tags for our next meeting. And als we have a new Clerk, Erin who is doing a great job. Are you writing that in there? Nice.
I've been meeting with TIUA reps because we're hiring a new ASP Senator so that's interesting. It's the way that they all want it, a hiring process rather than an election, so let me know if you want to know more. I think our interview is on the 15th.

I've been having one on ones with you and those have been fun. I love your ideas! I've been holding off on sending full committee reports, sorry committee. If you're interested we'll be having exec elections on March 15th. Info sessions will start in early March and we'll be talking about how to approach this process. Alright. Moving to Judicial.

7. Judicial Report (Chief Justice Dabit)

CJ Dabit: We've got our schedules done so let us know if you want to meet with us and send stuff. We're confirming Danielle Henderson who isn't actually here but I hope that doesn't change the way you vote. Okay, bigger things. We went through the refocusing plan. I'm working on this as it is written. This is just some information for you as you continue into discussion later.

Okay, slide 3 bullet three. This point is unconstitutional. To codify powers they have to be in the constitution. You need a ⅔ vote from senate to issue a referendum. Now slide 3 bullet 7 is something we can already do in Robert's Rules of Order. Slide 4 Bullet B. I'm thinking now that judicial should do another Robert's Rules of Order workshop. This insinuates that we should suspend all the rules except you can't go against bylaws in Robert's Rules of Order so to suspend a rule it has to be an actual rule. In this you could motion to suspend to move to moderated discussion. You then keep the Robert’s Rules of Order accountability but get some room to work. Moving on, slide 9 subsection E.

Let's move onto the document in here actually. I don't know if you saw this. It outlines more powers by role. Any power someone wants to have has to be within the constitution. Exec can’t issue student referendums but senate can. Senate powers, subsection B. I wrote down the solution but forgot the problem... Yeah, it's the ⅓ and a half vote. Any student referendum needs a supermajority at ⅔ because it's a big deal. I don’t know why this said this...

Senate Powers subsection E. This says you can have a petition and because you have that then ASWU needs to call for a public demonstration. Considering this last year, maybe you don’t agree. But Senate is technically a non-partisan organization. You shouldn’t be required to respond if you don’t agree or feel like you want to. Like last semester when we had the call to support anti-gun rights legislation. This 20% figure
should not apply for partisan issues. Shamir brought up in his follow up email that this was intended for campus issues but I just think that we’re walking a fine line here.


8. Old Business

8.1. Confirmation of Judicial nominee Danielle Henderson

CJ Dabit: She’s been a Justice in the past and she knows the rules well so, she’ll be great. And I’m hoping to be abroad next semester so you should be in good hands with her taking over.

Motion to confirm Nominee

Gill/Steffy

Approved

8.2. 5 minute class presentations on Refocusing and Collective Action Plan

VP Brownlee: We’ll go in order of class starting with seniors going down. You don’t have to use all of your time. I’ll let you know how much time you have left as we go. Well… Who wants to start?

Landoni: So basically the sophomores, I think I was the only one here to see this. I won’t speak for the caucus but I’ll talk to some general concerns. We are confused about the thesis statement of this and the purpose. Why is this happening now? It’s vague, confusing. Is it a bill? Are we scraping the bylaws? Where does this fit into our community discussion as a group? Is this President Cervantes big project? I think there were some missed communication opportunities but I’d like to move forward with clarity on how this will benefit us and why it is necessary. I think I speak for all of us on general concerns.

Hartman: I guess another question I have is, is this just coming from you or did you receive input? This just seems very one sided. It lacks input and I want to know where this came from.

Gill: So, the juniors came up with an idea to have input from each class. My idea was to have an Ad Hoc Committee that took this plan and worked with it. The committee would have a class rep, VP Brownlee, CJ Dabit, P Cervantes, and others but then we don’t break the constitution.

Also, Shamir gave us quite a few chances to give input. As of yesterday, Joseph and I were the only people who responded to that email and if we want to talk through things like this then we need to do that. We want to make clear that we don’t want to get rid of the rules but I think last week's meeting showed that we need a structure and that we need to utilize the rules that we don’t to make it more efficient. We want this group we suggested, which I would be happy to organize, to write up a plan for exec and Senate that is not a bill and not a bylaw. Yield to Landoni.
Landoni: I know there was input but the sophomores got shafted. I'm not blaming Thao. She's not here anymore. No one is here.

VP Brownlee: I have a point of clarification. I was in a Facebook message with Senators Saiki, Eckstrom, others, President Cervantes, and Thao discussing this.

Landoni: Well that meeting was the first time I saw this. And while it's here now, I can speak for all sophomores by saying that we didn’t know about this.

Gill: I think we should let other people present.

Lyons: We just want a review of Robert’s Rules of Order because we aren’t utilizing them.

Parekh: One of the points we took from this was that the plan was large and that we need to figure out what is important. Maybe we need a refresher on Robert’s Rules of Order because they are effective. But we think that there are uses for student forums on a need by need basis. Joey and Thao might be a good place to start since they’ve already been hosting them.

In terms of accountability, we think that Senate projects have a high rate of failure so the presentation aspect might not be great. Instead we think working with the VP is the best system. We also enjoyed the student rep period during external programs since that info they provide is usually easy enough to understand so they could probably just email it out. As for caucus, we don’t think it’s necessary.

Eckstrom: Just to clarify, the presentation two weeks ago was the introduction. That was when you were supposed to see it and respond. And then we got this meeting to give input. Also, lots of these things President Cervantes can do himself but I think that if we want to have student reps, I think we can just invite them rather than formalizing it. Step 2 I can do myself.

Saiki: Institutional memory is awful at a four year institution. We can’t leave this up to exec. All these things that Shamir has presented are all ideas. It doesn’t matter if it’s constitutional. Senators do bill writing and it’s our job to decide if we want to create Ad Hocs from each class ranking and figure out if we want to fulfill it. I would be on the Ad Hoc.

Eckstrom: It doesn’t matter if it’s constitutional. Collegian? You got that?

Shamir: Sophomores, you wanted the principals around creation? I think we have to accept that the student body doesn’t perceive us very well. We’ve done a lot of great stuff but we aren’t listening to the students about things like AES and professors of color. We don’t attack things as a unified student government. Students want more from us and we need to accept that we aren’t doing enough in the fight to get things done.

I went around to mentors and friends and came up with these ideas. It’s a collective project with Senators included too. Like Saiki said, I wanted to present this as ideas. I don't have the authority to do these things. That's why I didn't impose them. I could have brought in student reps. Student forums could just be on the agenda. But we didn't do that. If you don’t think we could be doing more and that ASWU has been reaching its potential, then you can set these ideas aside. But I think that we can respond to students better. If you think I’m lying then I’m sorry, but I just don’t think I am. Sorry for including Thao and not others. I wanted to work with people who I knew and
who had a good view of me. There wasn’t supposed to be favoritism so I’m sorry if that’s what it seemed like.

Juniors. Robert's rules, well, I think we just need to have better discussions. I don’t care about the solution but I want us to recognize the problem. I think we should consider having moderated discussions because it’s worked well for me in the past. Especially if we pick up student forums since that is how those would be run.

Seniors. Senate projects. We drop a lot of them and it’s hard to keep track of what gets done and what doesn’t. We don’t have good records of that. I wanted to have more than one senator attached to a project and then have them presented. If you want to find another way that is fine. I’d like to have a running Index kept by the Historian. Also, we should keep projects available until closed.

This is just an exposition of problems. But if I just brought problems then you would have ripped me apart. Solutions you can get rid of but I think it’s important to acknowledge the problems. As Saiki said, this was never intended to get passed by me. The things that can be done by executive power, I still wanted to have them passed through you. I would consider having the student forum next week, if you were interested.

VP Brownlee: Discussion?

Move to create Ad Hoc Committee of Senators to look into this plan
Saiki/Eckstrom
Approved 19/0/1

Landoni: I’m mad because the sophomores were on a really different page. I don’t know how. I’m still confused. I’m biased to things being said here. I wish that… I don’t know. There was a miscommunication.

Steffy: I would like to clarify that we’re all here for the same purpose. I’m sorry that you felt like you couldn’t come to all of us with this. I feel like moving forward, I think everyone wants to be involved in this conversation. So I’m sorry, again.

P Cervantes: The only reason I didn’t include everyone was because I knew that would take forever. My intention wasn’t to keep people out but rather to bring you something at least half way done. We could just have a discussion with the sophomore class if you want.

VP Brownlee: Further discussion on the task force model?

Eckstrom: Can we clarify that we should have class representation and also that, B, President Cervantes chair the committee? That’s not an amendment it’s an informal clarification.

VP Brownlee: Shamir will email you about all this.

Gill: I think we should pick people now.


9. New Business
9.1. Confirmation of President Pro Tempore

**Motion to confirm Teo Eckstrom as President Pro Tempore**
Gill/Steffy
Approved 19/0/1

9.2. ASWU Honors and Awards Hiring Representative
VP Brownlee: Exec team figured out that this group needs some help hiring. We can email them now if we have a volunteer. Anyone? Crickets… I’ll email a job description. Anyone? Great, Senator Steffy. I will CC you and this will be a fun journey.

**Motion to confirm Senator Steffy as the Honors and Awards Hiring Representative Nominee**
Landoni/Saiki
Approved

9.3. **Pepper Spray Safety Bill** (Senator Steffy)
Brownlee: Senator Steffy, would you like to come up?
Steffy: Okay. As many of you know this bill is near to my heart. I’ve been chased down by two homeless men on campus this year and I’m very passionate about it. I want to buy mini pepper spray for people as my project. I’ve been in contact with Rich Dennis and Ross Stout who are supportive of it. We’ve agreed that it should start as a pilot. Any questions? I’m meeting with Ross Stout tomorrow and he’ll be keeping them in campus safety once they arrive.
VP Brownlee: This is not formal hearing.
Landoni: Only 15 because it’s a pilot?
Steffy: Yes.
Hartman: They’ll be talking to Rich about how to use them? I think we should clarify this in the bill so that people don’t misuse them when they buy them?
Steffy: Sorry, can you clarify that?
Parekh “I’ve had a bad experience with pepper spray and I’ve been sprayed in the eyes, which can make you blind. But like, I think that training is imperative. I don’t want students with violent backgrounds checking them out. Maybe could we check with Rich about this? Do you have a plan for checking them out?
Steffy: I’ll talk to Ross about that.
Carlan: Is this a limited term check out situation? Because right now, how it’s phrased, it sounds like 15 students can just go pick up free pepper spray…
Steffy: Yeah. This isn’t a check out program. It’s a free gift system. I didn’t know when I was going to be attacked so I think that people need to just have it on
them at all times. I didn’t think about the background issue because and I’m curious about privacy but I can ask.

Eckstrom: Glad we’re talking about background checks. I think it’s powerful that we’re giving people the chance to take their safety into their own hands but also, what are the liability issues? What if the wrong person picks it up? I was talking to Campus Safety and they said that at a party someone was drunk and spraying pepper spray. I think there are liability concerns when ASWU is buying weapons. Considering the combination of liability concerns and also ease of access, I’m interested in your feelings.

Steffy: With incidents on campus rising, I think having this on campus as a resource is important. They shouldn’t have to wait a week to get one in the mail. I get that it can hurt you but it also protects you. I think it’s very important

Saiki: You live in Hazeldorf? The Uapps? One concern I have is that one, we haven’t had anyone from CAMPO come in and present to us. We’ve had finance, etc. With this, I think we have to think about the fact that we’re arming students. We don’t know enough about this issue. I think we’ve had great senate reps but I still think we need a large presentation to better understand the concerns. Especially when the safety concerns are being resolved by arming students. I would feel better after hearing from CAMPO.

Gill: I got a couple things. Safety on campus isn’t great. People don’t feel comfortable. I’m not saying that arming people is… Even though this is technically arming people, so are other things. This is happening to people on campus. We should move to close the speakers list. It’s a first read. Thanks Kate!

Steffy: Again, thank you.

Eckstrom: I think we need to talk about it.

Gill: Okay, I retract the motion.

VP Brownlee: Landoni you’re next.

Landoni: I met with Ross Stout this week and I just honestly don’t think he knows what is going on. Like, having him come in here is not a solution when we have a student who has experienced this.

Eckstrom: If she’s going to meet with him tomorrow let’s continue this discussion now!

Leder: I spent last semester on the advisory committee, so backing up Landoni, I think that Ross doesn’t know what is best. Rich is great but Kate is also a great resource.

VP Brownlee: Back to the vote to close the list. Okay... list stays open.

Eckstrom: I think it makes sense to bring him in here. If we think the problem won’t go away by itself, the permanent solution to this problem won’t be buying everyone pepper spray. I think that if we bring them in the we can have a conversation about systemic change in front of everyone.

Cervantes: I want to share some experience from students in the E&E. I’ve talked to a number of them and they are asking for self defense. They’re a group that is walking across campus a lot.
Steffy: I’ve received emails from students who are waiting on the arrival of the spray. Like, the moment I let them know it’s there, they will be at the Campus Safety office.
Saiki: Ross needs to come in here. If campus safety is as incompetent as everyone says, then I think we have a big issue. We need to deal with what Campus Safety is doing or not doing.
Landoni: This is nowhere meant to be a comprehensive solution. It’s a temporary fix. I see a senator who understands the situation and is making strides to change this. I wonder if campus safety knows what is going on.
Steffy: This isn’t my only fix. I’m doing self defense classes too and incorporating them into Opening Days as an optional activity.
P Cervantes: I would think that we have campus safety promote this rather than ASWU so that we don’t get the association.
VP Brownlee: Does anyone else want to speak on this? No? Okay. Let’s move down the agenda. You can break into caucuses if you want but otherwise lets move on.

10. Senate Reports
Brownlee: Once I’ve finished my one on ones I’m going to send out a formalized agenda. VP Smyth did this and I’m going to use scheduled report dates like she did. We’ll discuss those in advance of the meeting
Saiki: Last spring, I believe, there was a bill enacted that said our External Programs needs to submit a budget and go through the same allocation process that clubs go through. I want to propose a bill that undoes this. I’m the business manager for the Collegian and this is making us take the time to write budgets that are going to be rather inaccurate. A large part of the budget will be things that pop up out of nowhere and I think that the thought process was for groups to go through a similar process in asking for money but those groups are WAY more insular. They have more senate oversight than any other clubs. Their structure and goals are to promote the club. That budget literally just wastes people’s time. We as a Senate have problems trusting mechanisms that we put into place so that we don’t have to deal with everything. We have a committee dedicated to overseeing external programs. I don’t know what it does. I just joined it. But this step of pre writing the budget is a step that is arbitrary. Our advisor and the groups all agree that it’s unwanted
Parekh: To clarify, the intent wasn’t to give External Programs more responsibility. 30% of our budget was being put away for those groups and they weren’t using it. I think that system was more spurred from making sure money is evenly distributed. Those groups have budgets that change drastically so a percentage allocation wasn’t very useful. I just
wanted to be clear on why those changes happened. It wasn't about oversight it was about money being allocated.

Eckstrom: I think it’s worth mentioning that WEB went to a conference last month where they booked performers for next Spring. I think it’s a valiant goal to want that budget to match the totals but I also don’t think it’s feasible. I don’t think it makes sense to ask two large orgs to come in and ask for money. It’s a step backwards. Okay, I promise this will be quick. With video game club, they were charging other orgs for rentals. I’ve referred that issue to the exec board and they’re taking care of it. I’m excited for Pro Temp. I want to really hit marketing committee! Let’s get some little cards and suggestions. Great. Let’s go.

Leder: The letter I was talking about last semester is about the closing of WA, the moving of the E&E, and other micro aggressions against students of color. Willamette Academy is being closed. It’s taking on a new form and it’s going from supporting 240 families to 34 so basically it’s a done deal. They’re being told this week and they’re calling it innovation. You can call it that but I don’t agree. Please be respectful of that if you talk to students as they’re all learning about that. There seems to be a lot of traction with groups to support this open letter.

11. For the Good of the Order

12. Adjournment (VP Brownlee)

    Motion to adjourn
    Gill/Saiki
    Approved

Meeting adjourned at 8:32 pm